

LLG Assessment 2026

LLG Assessment 2026

Imanyiro Subcounty

(Vote Code: 236727)

Score 76/100 *(76%)*

No.	Performance Measure	Scoring Guide	Score	Justification
Assessment area: A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures				
1	The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards Maximum score is 2	• Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by: (i) appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds; and (ii) monitoring and supervision of PDM investments; to ensure effective utilization of PDM funds by beneficiaries; during the previous FY for all parishes; score 2, else score 0.	0	The LLG has 5 parishes and below is the analysis for each parish. 1. Mayuge Parish PDM List evidenced, PDC Minutes dated 25th June 2026, 6th Dec 2025, 18th June 2026 and 6th Aug 2025 were evidenced and List of proposals submitted for the revolving fund was evidenced dated 22nd Nov 2025 2. Nkombe Parish PDM List evidenced, PDC Minutes dated 22nd May 2026, 12th Dec 2025, 12th June 2026 and 25th Sept 2025 were evidenced, list of proposals submitted for the revolving fund was evidenced too and PDM Monitoring report dated 12th Dec 2025 was evidenced dated 14th Jan 2026 3. Magada parish PDM List evidenced, PDC Minutes dated 26th Sept 2025, 10th June 2026, 18th Dec 2025, 25th March 2026, 10th Sept 2025, 25th June 2026 and 22nd Dec 2025 were evidenced and List of proposals submitted for the revolving fund was evidenced dated 19th June 2025 4. Bufulubi Parish PDM List evidenced, PDC Minutes dated 23rd June 2026, 16th June 2026, 20th Aug 2025, 28th Aug 2025 and 17th Dec 2025 were evidenced and list of proposals submitted for the revolving fund was evidenced too dated 22nd June 2026 5. Mbaale Parish PDM List evidenced, PDC Minutes dated 17th June 2026, 2nd June 2026 and 3rd Aug 2025 were evidenced and list of proposals submitted for the revolving fund was evidenced too dated 20th May 2026 Give the above, the LLG had just one PDM monitoring report from 1 parish, Evidence of submission of monitoring report to the LLG Council was not evidenced too

2	LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines. Maximum score is 2	Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.	2	SPEAR data reports for all the 5 parishes were envienced
3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0	2	NGOs, CBOs and CSOs Mapping report from the LLG CDO to the SAS dated 30th March 2026 was evidenced and a report still from the same to the LLG SAS on an engagement meeting with civil society organizations on PDM dated 30th March 2026 was evidenced too.

Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on: ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0	2	During PDC meetings for respective parishes, PDCs were informed by their respective parish chiefs Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY as below. 1. Mayuge Parish PDC Minutes dated 18th June 2026 under minute number min 3/06/2026: Communication from the parish Chief, the PC Cleary outlines intended projects to be implemented by the LLG in their parish like Bwiwula-Buyemba-ikaaba road 2km maintenance. 2. Nkombe Parish PDC Minutes dated 12th June 2026 under minute number min 3/06/2026: Communication from the parish Chief, the PC Cleary outlines intended projects to be implemented by the LLG in their parish like Grading and shaping of Nkombe A-Namavundu road 3km. 3. Magada parish PDC Minutes dated 10th June 2026 under minute number min 3/06/2026: Communication from the parish Chief, the PC Cleary outlines intended projects to be implemented by the LLG in their parish like Purchase of 18 desks for Namadudu PS and Grading and shaping of Mukopi road 1.2km 4. Bufulubi Parish PDC Minutes dated 16th June 2026 under minute number min 3/06/2026: Communication from the parish Chief, the PC Cleary outlines intended projects to be implemented by the LLG in their parish like Grading and shaping of Bufulubi A – Bufulubi B 4.5km 5. Mbaale Parish PDC Minutes dated 2nd June 2026 under minute number min 3/06/2026: Communication from the parish Chief, the PC Cleary outlines intended projects to be implemented by the LLG in their parish like maintenance of 1km of a road in Mbaale TC

	Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0	2	During PDC meetings for respective parishes, PDCs were informed by their respective parish chiefs Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish as below. 1. Mayuge Parish PDC Minutes dated 18th June 2026 under minute number min 3/06/2026: Communication from the parish Chief, the PC Cleary outlines specific enterprises that the parish can engage in i.e. Poultry, Piggery, Livestock, Cocoa and vegetables 2. Nkombe Parish PDC Minutes dated 12th June 2026 under minute number min 3/06/2026: Communication from the parish Chief, the PC Cleary outlines specific enterprises that the parish can engage in i.e. Poultry, Piggery, Cocoa and coffee 3. Magada parish PDC Minutes dated 10th June 2026 under minute number min 3/06/2026: Communication from the parish Chief, the PC Cleary outlines specific enterprises that the parish can engage in i.e. Poultry, Livestock, Cocoa, coffee and vegetables 4. Bufulubi Parish PDC Minutes dated 16th June 2026 under minute number min 3/06/2026: Communication from the parish Chief, the PC Cleary outlines specific enterprises that the parish can engage in i.e. Poultry, Piggery, Cocoa, coffee and vegetables 5. Mbaale Parish PDC Minutes dated 2nd June 2026 under minute number min 3/06/2026: Communication from the parish Chief, the PC Cleary outlines specific enterprises that the parish can engage in i.e. Poultry, Livestock, Cocoa, Piggery, cassava and vegetables

Assessment area: B. Planning and Budgeting

4				
	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6	Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY: i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan IV; score 1 or else 0	0	LLG DP IV was in place but it was not consistent with the LLG approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY
		ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson; score 1 or else 0.	1	All submissions from 5 parish evidenced with signatures and stamps of their respective PDC Chairpesons
		iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0	1	Minutes of the LLG Budget Conference as of 3rd Nov 2025 evidenced and resolutions on prioritized investments do appear in the LLG AWP and Budget. For example road maintenances of Mukopi road and Bufulubi A - B
		iv. That the LLG budget includes investments to be financed by the LLG including projects funded using OSR; score 1, or else score 0.	0	LLG OSR allocations evienced were on recurrent expenitures only
		v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP IV; score 1, or else score 0.	0	No Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP IV provided
		vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May; score 1 or else 0	1	LLG budget was submitted to the District on 13th May 2026
5				
	Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0	2	LLG submitted the procurement plan on 29th April 2026

6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	2	DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines in place and all allocations were as per the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines investment menu for example 85.9% of the grant was spent on infrastructure development

Assessment area: C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization) Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget; score 1 or else score 0.	0	Budget for FY 2025/26 OSR budgeted was 26,350,000 while page 22 of the submitted AFS 2026 show OSR collection as 16,058,592 thus being less by 60% of what was budgeted for
8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year. Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	0	Page 23 of AFS 2025 has OSR collection as 18,041,975 while page 22 of AFS 2026 has OSR collections as 16,058,592 which shows a decrease in OSR collection over the 2 years
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	1	LLG Voucher no. 22/02/2026 = shs 2,109,000 and Voucher no. 15/10/2025 = shs 1,500,000 are mandatory share of OSR to the LG and administrative units and distribution lists were attached
		ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR (that was remitted to the LLG by the HLG) on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	1	voucher nos. 02/10/25 = shs 145,000, voucher nos. 03/10/25 = shs 270,000 voucher nos. 04/10/25 = shs 540,000 voucher nos. 03/01/26 = shs 145,000 voucher nos.04/01/26 = shs 270,000 voucher nos.05/01/26 = shs 540,000 Totaling to shs 1,910,000 being 11.9% of OSR Collected

		iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	1	Voucher no. 23/02/2026 = shs 2,091,000 was spent on O&M and still O&M was evidenced being bugeted for the LLG buget
		iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	1	Publication dated 3/7/2026 was evidenced on the LLG public notice board dully signed by the LLG SAS clearly indicating LLG OSR collected and its expenditure for the previous FY

Assessment area: D. Financial Management

10	The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e. by August 31), score 4 or else score 0	4	LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on 27th Aug 2026
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer on time: i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0	1	LLG submitted Q1 financial and physical progress report on 7th Oct 2025
		ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0	1	LLG submitted Q2 financial and physical progress report on 13th Jan 2026
		iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0	1	LLG submitted Q3 financial and physical progress report on 14th April 2026
		iv. Q4 by 15th July score 3 or else 0	3	LLG submitted Q4 financial and physical progress report on 14th July 2026

Assessment area: E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG: • All staff in the LLG including extension workers (where applicable) in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0	2	Submission letter to CAO of 27 on 30/6/25 for all staffs including extension workers evienced

		• Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0	2	11 Headteachers appraisal forms pending submission letter dated 30th June 2026 evienced
		• HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else 0.	2	Submission letter to CAO dated 30/6/2026 evidenced with details of Health In charges i.e Kabada Grace, Kalujja Edinance, Naigaga Edith and Basirika Abisagi
13	Staff duty attendance Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has • Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 2 or else 0	2	List of 12 staff displayed on LLG public notice board by name, title, salary scale, NIN, contact, date of 1st & present appointments
		• Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 2 or else 0	0	Monthly analysis of staff attendance for 12 months from July 2025 to June 2026 evidenced but with no recommendations to CAO
		• CAO/Tc took action on recommendations; score 2 or else 0	0	No evidences provided on whether CAO took action on the recommendations since the LLG analysis too lacked recommendations

Assessment area: F. Implementation and Execution

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects and activities Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects and activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	2	The LLG utilized DDEG funds for eligible activities in the previous FY. This is evident in the FY2025/2026 AWPB with total DDEG of 48,332,838 which outlines budgeted and implemented road maintenance and monitoring activities among other activities such as the opening and shaping of 1km road in Mbaale parish (shs.11,000,000), road maintenance on Kitovu- Lwanda (shs 13,000,000), environmental screening (shs.3000,000)
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2 or else 0	0	The Annual Financial Statements (AFS) provided to the assessment team indicated that the LLG's budget execution deviated within a +/-10% threshold for any of the sectors/programs. This was evident by comparing the budgeted amounts to the actual expenditures in the AFS. For example, the finance sector has a total budget of shs. 22,038,592 but spent shs. 9,500,000 resulting in a budget absorption of -56.8% exceeding the required +/-10%.

16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) : Note 3: Refer to the completion rate computation table at the end of the Manual If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3 If 70% -90%: Score 2 If less than 70 %: Score 0.	0	There was no proof provided to the assessment team that the planned investments for the previous financial year were finished by the end of the year. No completion certificates or reports were presented.

Assessment area: G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented Environmental and Social Safeguards during the previous FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change Screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	2	Evidence on whether the LLG carried out Environmental, Social and Climate Change Screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects was provided. i.e. Environmental, social and climate change screening forms for all the implemented projects were availed
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling Mechanism Maximum score is 2	If the LLG has specified a mechanism for i) recording, ii) investigating and iii) responding to grievances which includes a designated person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints logbook with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices Score 1 or else 0	1	CDO is the focal person to coordinate response to feedback, complaints logbook in place and adhered to
		If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	1	LLG publicized the grievance redress mechanisms on the LLG Public notice board

19	The LLG has a functional land management system Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	1	Lists of the Area Land Committee (Lukungu James Chairperson, Tezikuba Awali, Basalaki Salima, Kagoya Joweria and Tibitangwa Fred) evidenced with its corresponding minutes dated 20th Feb 2026, 27th Nov 2025 and 24th Sept 2025. Letter of submission to CAO for approval by the District Council dated 14th Sept 2026 evidenced too

Assessment area: H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	3	Awareness reports dated 18th May 2026, 16th Feb 2026, 9th Oct 2025 and 7th July 2026 evienced
21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools (both public and private) at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY: If all schools (100%) - score 4 If 80 – 99% – score 2 If 60 to 79% score 1 Below 60% score 0	2	LLG only had 100% reports on monitoring o public schools only. Private schools monitoring wasn't evienced

22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	3	Imanyiro sub county SMC meetings 2025 S/n School Name Term I Term II Term III 1 Magunga PS 7th May 2025 14th Aug 2025 15th Oct 2025 2 Lukungu PS 6th Feb 2025 13th June 2025 6th Sept 2025 3 St. Peters Namadudu PS 13th Feb 2025 24th June 2025 14th Oct 2025 4 Makembo PS 20th March 2025 13th Aug 2025 10th Oct 2025 5 Bufulubi PS 4th Feb 2025 4th June 2025 23rd Sept 2025 6 Mbaale PS 16th March 2025 15th May 2025 6th Sept 2025 7 Lwanda PS 5th March 2025 2nd June 2025 5th Oct 2025 8 Wante PS 7th Feb 2025 23rd June 2025 30th Sept 2025 9 Bwiwula PS 16th April 2025 3rd July 2025 6th Oct 2025 10 Mbaale Islamic 16th Feb 2025 5th May 2025 17th Nov 2025 11 Bukawango 20th Feb 2025 18th Aug 2025 17th Aug2025

Assessment area: I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	3	Evidence on awareness campaigns on malaria dated 23th March 2026, 29th Sept 2026 and 26th June 2026

24	The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY Maximum score is 4	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0	0	No evidences produced on whether LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY
25	Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0	3	Lists of HUMC provided, Evidences on the functionality of HUMC availed as per HUMC Minutes dated 26th Sept 2025 and 2nd Dec 2025 for Nkombe HC III, 16th Sept 2025 and 30th March 2026 for Bufulubi HC II, 17th Dec 2025 for Magada HC II and lastly on 29th Sept 2025 and 28th March 2026 for Bwiwula HC II

Assessment area: J. Water & Environment Services Management

26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0	3	Request for water source (Boreholes) in Imanyiro sub county dated 30th Dec 2025 received by water Officer at the district on 6th Jan 2026
27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that SAS monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0	3	Total number of boreholes is 44 and 83 Shallow wells. Q1, Q2, Q3 & Q4 monitoring reports dated 29th Sept 2025, 15th Dec 2025, 29th March 2026 and 30th June 2026. All reports were submitted to the DWO

28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0	0	No evidence for establishment and operations of Water and Sanitation Committees in all projects provided
29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the SAS has an updated list on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0	2	Updated list of all water and sanitation infrastructures of the Sub County plus their functionality status was evidenced dated 30th Dec 2025

Assessment area: L. Production Services Management

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e. crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office; score 2 or else 0.	2	The LLG collected data and provided statistics on agriculture (crop, animal, fisheries) and were submitted to DPO, this was evidenced by the Fisheries quarterly statistics reports submitted to DPO prepared by fisheries officer Babirye Esther such as Q1 was on 17th/10/2025, Q2 was 10/01/2026, Q3 was 9/4/2026, and Q4 was 19/8/2026 and Quarterly crop statistical reports by Gyagenda Alex such as Q1 dated 6/10/2025
35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings Maximum score is 2	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	2	The LLG conducted agricultural awareness and mobilization campaigns by organizing farmer field days and awareness meetings. These activities were reported to the production office. This was evidenced by the sensitization reports on pelagic fishes and fishing methods dated December 9th, 2025 availed to the assessment team which included attendance lists and attached field photos among other mobilisation reports

36	The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office; score 2 or else 0	2	The LLG monitored agricultural activities related to crops, animals, and fisheries. This was evidenced by the quarterly crop monitoring reports submitted to the assessment team. Some of the reports provided include the Q3 Crop report dated March 29, 2026, on micro-scale irrigation, banana, and cassava farmers, and the Q2 report dated January 4, 2026, on mushroom farmers under the PDM program. All these reports were prepared by Gyagenda Alex, the agricultural officer.
37	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	2	The LLG extension staff conducted training sessions for farmers on irrigated agriculture, pest and disease management. This was evidenced by the training and sensitization reports provided to the assessment team. For example, Babirye Esther, fisheries officer submitted a sensitization report to DPO on pelagic fishes and fishing methods trainings dated February 9, 2026. Additionally, Gyagenda Alexr prepared an activity report on a cost-benefit analysis training for tomato enterprise farmers on July 2, 2026.
38	The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	2	The LLG extension staff provided assistance to farmer groups in areas such as crop management, aquaculture, and animal husbandry. This was evidenced by the fisheries farm visit report dated April 9, 2026, the crop field visit report dated December 14, 2025, and the monitoring report of PDM beneficiaries by the veterinary officer dated June 30, 2026 provided to the assessors.